

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the application are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and remarks herewith, which place the application into condition for allowance. The present amendment is being made to facilitate prosecution of the application.

### **I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS AND FORMAL MATTERS**

Claims 1-12 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 4-7, and 10-12, which are independent are hereby amended.

No new matter has been introduced. Support for this amendment is provided in the Specification as originally filed. It is submitted that these claims, as originally presented, were in full compliance with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112. Changes to claims are not made for the purpose of patentability within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103, or §112. Rather, these changes are made simply for clarification and to round out the scope of protection to which Applicants are entitled.

### **II. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102(e)**

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection described in the outstanding Office Action. As stated in Applicants' previously filed response, claim 1 recites, *inter alia*:

"...wherein, when the data set is deleted from the unit recording area of the information recording medium, the recording means deletes the added padding data, and wherein streaming data in a next unit recording area coinciding with a next data set remains."(emphasis added)

While Kato relates to a transport stream recording device, and method, a transport stream reproduction device and method, and a recording medium for efficiently recording and reproducing transport streams, Kato does not describe adding padding data and then deleting the added padding data, as recited in claim 1.

Specifically, Applicants submit Kato fails to teach or suggest that wherein, when the data set is deleted from the unit recording area of the information recording medium, the recording means deletes the added padding data and that streaming data in a next unit recording area coinciding with a next data set remains., as recited in claim 1.

Specifically, Applicants submit that the cited portions of Kato, specifically Figure 17A, Figure 17B, and column 11, lines 7-46, teach a deletion processing method where a user's erasure instructions are compared to a map of the data and an address deletion range is calculated by checking the user's time input against the map. The data points are found and the data indicated by the user's input is deleted.

Such disclosure does not render claim 1 unpatentable because, in claim 1, padding data is added to the streaming data accumulated in the accumulating means until the total amount of data reaches the capacity of the unit recording area of the information recording medium. Then, when the data set is deleted from the unit recording area of the information recording medium, the added padding data is deleted, and streaming data in a next unit recording area coinciding with a next data set remains.

Indeed, the cited portion of Kato does not teach or suggest these features of claim 1.

Furthermore, claim 1 also recites, *inter alia*:

“...wherein the recording apparatus is a video camera or a hard disk recorder.”(emphasis added)

Applicants submit Kato fails to teach that the recording apparatus is a video camera or a hard disk recorder, as recited in claim 1.

Therefore, Applicants submit that independent claim 1 is patentable.

For reasons similar to those described above with regard to independent claim 1, independent claims 4-7 and 10-12 are patentable.

### **III. DEPENDENT CLAIMS**

The other claims in this application are each dependent from the independent claim discussed above and are therefore believed patentable for at least the same reasons. Since each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, however, the individual reconsideration of the patentability of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

### **CONCLUSION**

Please charge any additional fees that may be needed, and credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is believed that all of the claims in this application are patentable and Applicants respectfully request early passage to issue of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP  
Attorneys for Applicants

By



Thomas F. Presson  
Reg. No. 41,442  
(212) 588-0800